Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 904116	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
International application No.	International filing date (day	month/year) Prior	ity date (day/month/year)					
PCT/JP2004/007468	25.05.2004	* '	7.05.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na	ational classification and IPC	1						
Applicant								
SHARP KABUSHIKI KAIS	SHA							
•	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	6	sheets, including this o	cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applicant an	nd to the International Bureau) a	total of 4	sheets, as follows:					
			ed and are the basis for this report and/or					
Instructions).	rectifications authorized by this	Authority (see Rule 70.1	6 and Section 607 of the Administrative					
the disclosure in t	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
Box.								
b. [] (sent to the International	al Bureau only) a total of (indicat	e type and number of ele	ectronic carrier(s))					
			ntaining a sequence listing and/or tables					
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4. This report contains indications rela	ating to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of the	he report							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-estab	olishment of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive ste	p and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of u	nity of invention							
2 Bokino, v								
Box No. VI Certain do								
Box No. VII Certain de	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
Box No. VIII Certain ol	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Author	ized officer						
Facsimile No.	Teleph	one No.	_					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/007468

Box	No. I	Basis of the report						
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the internation ated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise					
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:							
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))						
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)						
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)					
2.	recei		report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to					
		the international application as originally filed/furnished						
	岗	the description:						
		·	as originally filed/furnished					
		pages*						
	\square	pages*	received by this Admortly on					
		the claims:						
		nos 2,3,10,12,14,16,17,19,21,23-25,28,3	as originally filed/furnished					
		nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19					
		nos.* 1,4,5,9,33-37	received by this Authority on					
		nos.*	received by this Authority on					
	\boxtimes	the drawings:						
		sheets 1-20	as originally filed/furnished					
		sheets*	received by this Authority on					
			received by this Authority on					
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.						
3.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos. 6-8,11,13,15,18,20,22,2	6,27,29					
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):						
4.			ments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since ed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).					
Ì		the description, pages						
		the claims, nos.						
		the drawings, sheets/figs						
		the sequence listing (specify):						
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	erseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT/JP2004/007468

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N	v)	Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23-25, 28, 30-37	YES		
			Claims	NO		
	Inventive :	step (IS)	Claims	YES		
			Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23-25, 28, 30-37	NO NO		
	Industrial	applicability	IA) Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 23-25, 28, 30-37	YES		
			Claims	NO		
2.	Citations and	evalenations	70 70 70			
_						
	DOCume.	iic I;				
	06 August 1999, column 1, lines 23 to 50;					
			column 7, line 43 to column 8, line 17;			
			column 8, lines 37 to 46; and fig. 9 to 11			
			(Family: none)			
	Docume	nt 2:	JP 2000-254383 A (Nihon Kentetsu Co., Ltd.;			
			Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 19 September			
			2000, column 1, line 48 to column 2, line 9			
			(Family: none)			
	Docume	nt 3:	JP 2000-271385 A (Nihon Kentetsu Co., Ltd.;			
			Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 03 October			
			2000, column 1, line 45 to column 2, line			
			10 (Family: none)			
	Docume	nt 4:	JP 11-255467 A (Yoshikawa Kogyo Kabushiki			
			Kaisha), 21 September 1999, column 1, lines			
			11 to 20; column 1, lines 30 to 37; column			
			2, lines 4 to 6; column 4, lines 2 to 19;			
			and fig. 2 (Family: none)			
	Docume	nt 5.	JP 2003-94982 A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.), 03			
	Docume.		April 2003, column 1, lines 41 to 50 and			
	D	- L C	column 2, lines 6 to 41 (Family: none)			
	Docume	nt b:	JP 2003-47121 A (Sumitomo Wiring Systems,			
			Ltd.), 14 February 2003, column 2, lines 22			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; dtations and explanations supporting such statement

to 27 (Family: none)

- Document 7: JP 2002-21831 A (Takeuchi Kogyo Kabushiki Kaisha), 23 January 2002, column 2, lines 40 to 46 (Family: none)
- Document 8: JP 2001-62187 A (Sharp Corp.), 13 March 2001, column 2, lines 15 to 18 (Family: none)
- Document 9: JP 8-155875 A (Kikkoman Corp.), 18 June 1996, fig. 2 (Family: none)
- Document 10: JP 64-42888 U (NEC Corp.), 14 March 1989, fig. 1 (Family: none)
- Document 11: JP 2003-89116 A (Sharp Corp.), 25 March 2003, page 1, lower left column and column 4, lines 2 to 3 (Family: none)
- (1) The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 5 and 9 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 3.

Documents 1 to 3 disclose the feature of "breaking the connection between the drive part and the driven part along the axial direction of the connecting rod." In addition, applying a strong force in order to forcibly break a connection is simply the most primitive method of breaking a connection (refer to documents 4 to 8); therefore, it would have been exceedingly obvious to a person skilled in the art to break the connections in the inventions that are disclosed in documents 1 to 3 by applying a strong force thereto. In addition, the written response submitted by the applicant indicates that "even if one were to attempt to separate the drive part and the driven part by merely applying a strong force thereto while the driven part is affixed to the connection rod,"

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the connection between the drive part and the driven part will not necessarily be broken, and further indicates that "it is foreseeable that the connecting rod may not break free from the drive part and that the resin water tank may be shattered by the water extraction tank, which is to say the driven part, thereby degrading the quality of the recovered resin while also decreasing the recovery efficiency." Therein, the disclosure does not sufficiently specify the necessary conditions for separating the drive part and the driven part in an appropriate manner; therefore, the inventions that are set forth in claims 1 to 5 and 9, which are merely inventions for attempting to separate the drive part and the driven part by simply applying a strong force thereto while the driven part is affixed to the connection rod, do not involve an inventive step.

(2) The inventions set forth in claims 10, 12, 14, 16, 19, 21 and 23 to 25 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1, 4, 9 and 10.

The following explanations are presented in addition to the explanations in section (1), above.

It would have been easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of employing a crane that has been equipped with an opening/closing claw, which comprises end parts, and a claw driving part, which presses upon said opening/closing claw, as the means for breaking the connections in the light of the disclosures of documents 4, 9 and 10. In addition, the question of whether or not to connect and mount the claw driving part to the opening/closing claw is merely a simple design matter, and it is common practice to configure so that it is

PCT/JP2004/007468

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

possible to detach the end parts of the opening/closing claw (for example, it is possible to remove the hook (1) in the invention that is disclosed in document 4). Furthermore, when separating members that have been connected it is common practice to press upon one of the members.

(3) The inventions set forth in claims 28, 30, 31 and 32 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 3.

The following explanations are presented in addition to the explanations in section (1), above.

It would be possible to re-use the resin (for example, refer to document 11), to use a mounting table that comprises a mobile mounting surface and to provide a splash prevention means, as necessary.

(4) The inventions set forth in claims 33 to 37 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 3.

The following explanations are presented in addition to the explanations in section (1), above.

It is common practice to carry out operations using the minimum amount of power that is necessary.

In addition, it would be possible to re-use the resin, to use a mounting table that comprises a mobile mounting surface and to provide a splash prevention means, as necessary.